arXiv:1106.3592v2 [quant-ph] 2 Feb 2012

SLOCC determinant invariants of order $2^{n/2}$ for even n qubits

Xiangrong Li[1], Dafa Li[2]
[1] *Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA*
[2] *Department of mathematical sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084 CHINA*

In this paper, we study SLOCC determinant invariants of order $2^{n/2}$ for any even n qubits which satisfy the SLOCC determinant equations. The determinant invariants can be constructed by a simple method and the set of all these determinant invariants is complete with respect to permutations of qubits. SLOCC entanglement classification can be achieved via the vanishing or not of the determinant invariants. We exemplify the method for several even number of qubits, with an emphasis on six qubits.

PACS Number: 03.67.Mn

Quantum entanglement is a key quantum mechanical resource in quantum computation and information, such as quantum cryptography, quantum dense coding and quantum teleportation [1]. Whereas bipartite entanglement has been well understood, multipartite entanglement remains largely unexplored due to the exponential growth of complexity with the number of qubits involved.

Functions in the coefficients of pure states which are invariant under stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC) play a vital role in the study of entanglement classification [2–11] as well as entanglement measures [12–14]. Invariants for four qubits have been presented in [12], and entanglement measures might be built from the absolute values of these invariants. The three invariants of order 4, denoted as L, M and N, can be expressed in the form of determinants. Invariants for five qubits have been highlighted in [15, 16]. To date, very few attempts have been made toward the generalization to higher number of qubits. The SLOCC equations of degree 2 for even n qubits and of degree 4 for odd n qubits have been recently established for two states equivalent under SLOCC [7, 14]. More recently, for even n qubits, the SLOCC determinant equations of degree $2^{n/2}$ has been established and four determinant invariants of order $2^{n/2}$ have been obtained [17]. In light of the SLOCC determinant equations, several different genuine entangled states of even n qubits inequivalent to the $|GHZ\rangle$, $|W\rangle$, and Dicke states have been constructed.

In this paper, we construct $\binom{n-1}{n/2-1}$ SLOCC determinant invariants of order $2^{n/2}$ for any even n qubits which satisfy the SLOCC determinant equations. We also demonstrate the completeness of the set of all these determinant invariants with respect to permutations of qubits. For six qubits, we explicitly derive all the ten SLOCC determinants of order 8. The determinant invariants can be used for SLOCC classification of any even n qubits. Finally, we illustrate the application of the equations and invariants by proposing a genuine entangled state of even n qubits and showing that it is inequivalent to the $|GHZ\rangle$, $|W\rangle$, and Dicke states.

We write the state $|\psi'\rangle$ of even n qubits as $|\psi'\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} a_i|i\rangle$. We associate to the state $|\psi'\rangle$ a $2^{n/2}$ by $2^{n/2}$ coefficient matrix $M(a,n)$ whose entries are the coefficients $a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{2^n-1}$ arranged in ascending lexicographical order. To illustrate, we list $M(a,4)$ below as:

$$M(a,4) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 \\ a_8 & a_9 & a_{10} & a_{11} \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Let the state $|\psi\rangle$ of even n qubits be $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} b_i|i\rangle$. It is well known that the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi'\rangle$ are equivalent under SLOCC if and only if there exist local invertible operators $\mathcal{A}_1$, $\mathcal{A}_2, \cdots, \mathcal{A}_n$ such that [2]

$$|\psi'\rangle = \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{A}_n}_{n} |\psi\rangle. \tag{2}$$

Let $M(b,n)$ be obtained from $M(a,n)$ by replacing a by b . Then the following SLOCC determinant equation holds [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\det M(a,n) \\ &= \det M(b,n)[\det(\mathcal{A}_1)\cdots\det(\mathcal{A}_n)]^{2^{(n-2)/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We refer to any determinant that satisfies Eq. (3) as a SLOCC determinant invariant of order $2^{n/2}$ for even n qubits. In particular, $\det M(a,n)$ is such a determinant invariant. Several other determinant invariants have recently been obtained in [17]. The aim of this paper is to construct all the determinant invariants satisfying Eq. (3).

We write $|\psi'\rangle$ in terms of an orthogonal basis as $|\psi'\rangle = \sum a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}|i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n\rangle$, where $i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n$ is the n-bit binary form of the index i. Inspection of the structure of the matrix $M(a,n)$ reveals that the coefficient $a_{i_1 \cdots i_{n/2} i_{n/2+1} \cdots i_n}$ of the state $|\psi'\rangle$ is the entry in the $(i_1 \cdots i_{n/2})$th row and $(i_{n/2+1} \cdots i_n)$th column of the matrix (define the topmost row as the 0th row and the leftmost column as the 0th column). In other words, bits $1, \cdots, n/2$ specify the row number, and the rest bits specify the column number. We observe that using different bits to specify the row number might result in matrices whose determinants are different from that of $M(a,n)$. Since $n/2$ bits are needed to specify the row number for square matrices, this amounts to $\binom{n}{n/2}$ different ways. But, as can easily be verified, exchanging the row and column bits of a matrix is equivalent to transposing the matrix. This gives a total of $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{n/2} = \binom{n-1}{n/2-1}$ different determinants. As will be seen later, these determinants satisfy the SLOCC determinant equations and form a complete set of determinant invariants of order $2^{n/2}$ of even n qubits with respect to permutations of qubits. We can construct the determinants in the following way: use bit $n/2$ together with $n/2-1$ other bits selected from the rest $n-1$ bits to specify the row number and the remaining $n/2$ bits to specify the column number. We exemplify this for $n=4$. Using bits 1 and 2 to specify the row number yields

$$D_4^1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 \\ a_8 & a_9 & a_{10} & a_{11} \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Using bits 2 and 3 to specify the row number yields

$$D_4^2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_8 & a_9 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_{10} & a_{11} \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_6 & a_7 & a_{14} & a_{15} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Using bits 2 and 4 to specify the row number yields

$$D_4^3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_2 & a_8 & a_{10} \\ a_1 & a_3 & a_9 & a_{11} \\ a_4 & a_6 & a_{12} & a_{14} \\ a_5 & a_7 & a_{13} & a_{15} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Each of the above three determinants can be verified to satisfy Eq. (3) by solving Eq. (2) for the coefficients of $|\psi'\rangle$ and then substituting the coefficients into the corresponding Eqs. (4)-(6), thereby revealing that all the three determinants are SLOCC determinant invariants of order 4 for four qubits [17]. It is worth noting that the above three determinants, ignoring the sign, turn out to be same as the ones given in [12].

While the determinants of order 4 for four qubits can be verified to satisfy Eq. (3), this is an extremely difficult task for large number of qubits. To solve this problem, we will resort to permutations. Suppose that we use bit $n/2$ together with $n/2-1$ other bits $\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_{n/2-1}$ selected from the rest $n-1$ bits to specify the row number and the remaining $n/2$ bits to specify the column number. This gives a determinant of order $2^{n/2}$. We will show that this determinant can be obtained by applying a permutation to $\det M(a,n)$. This can be seen as follows. Let

$$C = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_{n/2-1}\} \cap \{1, 2, \cdots, n/2-1\}, \quad (7)$$

i.e. C consists of those among the first $n/2-1$ bits which are used to specify the row number. Consider the following two sets of bits:

$$\{t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_k\} = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_{n/2-1}\}/C, \quad (8)$$

$$\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_k\} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n/2-1\}/C, \quad (9)$$

for some $0 \le k \le n/2-1$. Here $r_1, \cdots, r_k$ are those among the first $n/2$ bits which are used to specify the column number, and $t_1, \cdots, t_k$ are those among the last $n/2$ bits which are used to specify the row number. Define the permutation

$$\sigma = (r_1, t_1)(r_2, t_2)\cdots(r_k, t_k). \quad (10)$$

If $k=0$, we define $\sigma = I$. It is trivial to see that, ignoring the sign, the determinant constructed above is equal to $\sigma \det M(a,n)$. To find all the determinants of order $2^{n/2}$, we can simply exhaust all possible values of $r_1, \cdots, r_k$, $t_1, \cdots, t_k$, and k, i.e. for all $1 \le r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k \le n/2-1$, $n/2 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k \le n$, and k varies from 0 to $n/2-1$. Inspection of the above condition yields $\sum_{k=0}^{n/2-1} \binom{n/2-1}{k}\binom{n/2}{k} = \binom{n-1}{n/2-1}$ different permutations σ, which give rise to equally as many different determinants $\sigma \det M(a,n)$ of order $2^{n/2}$.

Now, simply taking the permutations σ to both sides of Eq. (3) yield the following determinant equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma \det M(a,n) \\ =&\sigma \det M(b,n)[\det(\mathcal{A}_1)\cdots\det(\mathcal{A}_n)]^{2^{(n-2)/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It follows immediately from Eq. (11) that $\sigma \det M(a,n)$ are determinant invariants of order $2^{n/2}$.

For the sake of completeness, we first do a simple manipulation of Eq. (10). If we make use of the fact that

$$(r_k, t_k) = (1, t_k)(1, r_k)(1, t_k), \quad (12)$$

we are led to the following equation (ignoring the sign):

$$(r_i, t_i)\det M(a,n) = (1, r_i)(1, t_i)\det M(a,n). \quad (13)$$

That Eq. (13) holds is easily confirmed upon realizing that to take transposition $(1, t_i)$ to the determinant $(1, r_i)(1, t_i)\det M(a,n)$ is equivalent to interchanging two rows of the determinant. This leads to the following expression for σ:

$$\sigma = (1, r_1)(1, t_1)(1, r_2)(1, t_2)\cdots(1, r_k)(1, t_k). \quad (14)$$

Indeed, Eq. (14) is usually more convenient to use than Eq. (10). It can be demonstrated that applying a transposition in the form $(1, i)$ with $i = 1, \cdots, n$ to the set formed by the determinant invariants constructed above always yields the same set (ignoring the sign). Since any permutation can be expressed as a product of transpositions in the form $(1, i)$, this demonstrates the completeness of the set of all these determinant invariants. This will be illustrated in discussing the cases $n=4$ and $n=6$ below.

We now proceed to present the determinant invariants for several even number of qubits.

$n=2$: for two qubits, there is only one determinant invariant $\begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix}$ of order 2 (see also [7]).

$n = 4$: for four qubits, there are three determinant invariants of order 4, namely, D_4^1, D_4^2, and D_4^3 (see Eqs. (4)-(6)). Note that $D_4^2 = (1,3)D_4^1$ and $D_4^3 = (1,4)D_4^1$.

We now argue that the above three determinants form a complete set of determinant invariants of order 4 for four qubits with respect to permutations of qubits. This can be seen as follows. Applying any transposition $(1,i)$ with $i = 1, \cdots, 4$ to any one of the three determinant invariants always yields a determinant invariant in the same set. This demonstrates the completeness of the set formed by these determinant invariants. The results are summarized in table I.

TABLE I. Completeness of the determinant invariants for four qubits with respect to permutations of qubits

trans	determinant invariants		
$(1,1)$	D_4^1	D_4^2	D_4^3
$(1,2)$	D_4^1	D_4^3	D_4^2
$(1,3)$	D_4^2	D_4^1	D_4^3
$(1,4)$	D_4^3	D_4^2	D_4^1

$n = 6$: for six qubits, there are ten determinant invariants of order 8. In table II, we list the permutations σ and the corresponding determinant invariants by virtue of Eq. (14). The determinant invariants are explicitly given as follows:

$$D_6^1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 \\ a_8 & a_9 & a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{18} & a_{19} & a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} & a_{27} & a_{28} & a_{29} & a_{30} & a_{31} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} & a_{37} & a_{38} & a_{39} \\ a_{40} & a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & a_{45} & a_{46} & a_{47} \\ a_{48} & a_{49} & a_{50} & a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} \\ a_{56} & a_{57} & a_{58} & a_{59} & a_{60} & a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & a_{36} & a_{37} & a_{38} & a_{39} \\ a_8 & a_9 & a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{40} & a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{44} & a_{45} & a_{46} & a_{47} \\ a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{18} & a_{19} & a_{48} & a_{49} & a_{50} & a_{51} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} \\ a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} & a_{27} & a_{56} & a_{57} & a_{58} & a_{59} \\ a_{28} & a_{29} & a_{30} & a_{31} & a_{60} & a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_4 & a_5 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{36} & a_{37} \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_6 & a_7 & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{38} & a_{39} \\ a_8 & a_9 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{40} & a_{41} & a_{44} & a_{45} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{46} & a_{47} \\ a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{48} & a_{49} & a_{52} & a_{53} \\ a_{18} & a_{19} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{50} & a_{51} & a_{54} & a_{55} \\ a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{28} & a_{29} & a_{56} & a_{57} & a_{60} & a_{61} \\ a_{26} & a_{27} & a_{30} & a_{31} & a_{58} & a_{59} & a_{62} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^4 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_2 & a_4 & a_6 & a_{32} & a_{34} & a_{36} & a_{38} \\ a_8 & a_{10} & a_{12} & a_{14} & a_{40} & a_{42} & a_{44} & a_{46} \\ a_1 & a_3 & a_5 & a_7 & a_{33} & a_{35} & a_{37} & a_{39} \\ a_9 & a_{11} & a_{13} & a_{15} & a_{41} & a_{43} & a_{45} & a_{47} \\ a_{16} & a_{18} & a_{20} & a_{22} & a_{48} & a_{50} & a_{52} & a_{54} \\ a_{24} & a_{26} & a_{28} & a_{30} & a_{56} & a_{58} & a_{60} & a_{62} \\ a_{17} & a_{19} & a_{21} & a_{23} & a_{49} & a_{51} & a_{53} & a_{55} \\ a_{25} & a_{27} & a_{29} & a_{31} & a_{57} & a_{59} & a_{61} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^5 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{18} & a_{19} \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_8 & a_9 & a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} & a_{27} \\ a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{28} & a_{29} & a_{30} & a_{31} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{48} & a_{49} & a_{50} & a_{51} \\ a_{36} & a_{37} & a_{38} & a_{39} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} \\ a_{40} & a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{56} & a_{57} & a_{58} & a_{59} \\ a_{44} & a_{45} & a_{46} & a_{47} & a_{60} & a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^6 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_2 & a_8 & a_{10} & a_{32} & a_{34} & a_{40} & a_{42} \\ a_1 & a_3 & a_9 & a_{11} & a_{33} & a_{35} & a_{41} & a_{43} \\ a_4 & a_6 & a_{12} & a_{14} & a_{36} & a_{38} & a_{44} & a_{46} \\ a_5 & a_7 & a_{13} & a_{15} & a_{37} & a_{39} & a_{45} & a_{47} \\ a_{16} & a_{18} & a_{24} & a_{26} & a_{48} & a_{50} & a_{56} & a_{58} \\ a_{17} & a_{19} & a_{25} & a_{27} & a_{49} & a_{51} & a_{57} & a_{59} \\ a_{20} & a_{22} & a_{28} & a_{30} & a_{52} & a_{54} & a_{60} & a_{62} \\ a_{21} & a_{23} & a_{29} & a_{31} & a_{53} & a_{55} & a_{61} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^7 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_2 & a_4 & a_6 & a_{16} & a_{18} & a_{20} & a_{22} \\ a_1 & a_3 & a_5 & a_7 & a_{17} & a_{19} & a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_8 & a_{10} & a_{12} & a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{26} & a_{28} & a_{30} \\ a_9 & a_{11} & a_{13} & a_{15} & a_{25} & a_{27} & a_{29} & a_{31} \\ a_{32} & a_{34} & a_{36} & a_{38} & a_{48} & a_{50} & a_{52} & a_{54} \\ a_{33} & a_{35} & a_{37} & a_{39} & a_{49} & a_{51} & a_{53} & a_{55} \\ a_{40} & a_{42} & a_{44} & a_{46} & a_{56} & a_{58} & a_{60} & a_{62} \\ a_{41} & a_{43} & a_{45} & a_{47} & a_{57} & a_{59} & a_{61} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^8 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_2 & a_4 & a_6 & a_8 & a_{10} & a_{12} & a_{14} \\ a_1 & a_3 & a_5 & a_7 & a_9 & a_{11} & a_{13} & a_{15} \\ a_{16} & a_{18} & a_{20} & a_{22} & a_{24} & a_{26} & a_{28} & a_{30} \\ a_{17} & a_{19} & a_{21} & a_{23} & a_{25} & a_{27} & a_{29} & a_{31} \\ a_{32} & a_{34} & a_{36} & a_{38} & a_{40} & a_{42} & a_{44} & a_{46} \\ a_{33} & a_{35} & a_{37} & a_{39} & a_{41} & a_{43} & a_{45} & a_{47} \\ a_{48} & a_{50} & a_{52} & a_{54} & a_{56} & a_{58} & a_{60} & a_{62} \\ a_{49} & a_{51} & a_{53} & a_{55} & a_{57} & a_{59} & a_{61} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$D_6^9 = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_4 & a_5 & a_8 & a_9 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_6 & a_7 & a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{28} & a_{29} \\ a_{18} & a_{19} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{26} & a_{27} & a_{30} & a_{31} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{36} & a_{37} & a_{40} & a_{41} & a_{44} & a_{45} \\ a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{38} & a_{39} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{46} & a_{47} \\ a_{48} & a_{49} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{56} & a_{57} & a_{60} & a_{61} \\ a_{50} & a_{51} & a_{54} & a_{55} & a_{58} & a_{59} & a_{62} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

TABLE II. Ten determinant invariants for six qubits

σ	I	$(1,4)$	$(1,5)$	$(1,6)$	$(1,2)(1,4)$	$(1,2)(1,5)$	$(1,2)(1,6)$	$(1,4)(1,2)(1,5)^a$	$(1,4)(1,2)(1,6)^b$	$(1,5)(1,2)(1,6)^c$
det	D_6^1	D_6^2	D_6^3	D_6^4	D_6^5	D_6^6	D_6^7	D_6^8	D_6^9	D_6^{10}

[a] $(1,4)(1,2)(1,5)D_6^1 = (1,3)(1,6)D_6^1 = D_6^8$.
[b] $(1,4)(1,2)(1,6)D_6^1 = (1,3)(1,5)D_6^1 = D_6^9$.
[c] $(1,5)(1,2)(1,6)D_6^1 = (1,3)(1,4)D_6^1 = D_6^{10}$.

$$D_6^{10} = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_8 & a_9 & a_{10} & a_{11} \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{18} & a_{19} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} & a_{27} \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{28} & a_{29} & a_{30} & a_{31} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{40} & a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} \\ a_{36} & a_{37} & a_{38} & a_{39} & a_{44} & a_{45} & a_{46} & a_{47} \\ a_{48} & a_{49} & a_{50} & a_{51} & a_{56} & a_{57} & a_{58} & a_{59} \\ a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} & a_{60} & a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} \end{vmatrix}$$

An argument analogous to the one for $n = 4$ establishes the completeness of the set formed by the ten determinant invariants. The results are summarized in table III.

TABLE III. Completeness of the determinant invariants for six qubits with respect to permutations of qubits

trans	determinant invariants									
$(1,1)$	D_6^1	D_6^2	D_6^3	D_6^4	D_6^5	D_6^6	D_6^7	D_6^8	D_6^9	D_6^{10}
$(1,2)$	D_6^1	D_6^5	D_6^6	D_6^7	D_6^2	D_6^3	D_6^4	D_6^8	D_6^9	D_6^{10}
$(1,3)$	D_6^1	D_6^{10}	D_6^9	D_6^8	D_6^5	D_6^6	D_6^7	D_6^4	D_6^3	D_6^2
$(1,4)$	D_6^2	D_6^1	D_6^3	D_6^4	D_6^5	D_6^8	D_6^9	D_6^6	D_6^7	D_6^{10}
$(1,5)$	D_6^3	D_6^2	D_6^1	D_6^4	D_6^8	D_6^6	D_6^{10}	D_6^5	D_6^9	D_6^7
$(1,6)$	D_6^4	D_6^2	D_6^3	D_6^1	D_6^9	D_6^{10}	D_6^7	D_6^8	D_6^5	D_6^6

$n = 8$: for eight qubits, there are 35 determinant invariants of order 16 (not presented here due to space limitations).

Finally, it follows from Eq. (11) that if two n-qubit states $|\psi'\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are SLOCC equivalent, then $\sigma \det M(a,n)$ vanishes if and only if $\sigma \det M(b,n)$ vanishes. On the other hand, if one of the determinants $\sigma \det M(a,n)$ and $\sigma \det M(b,n)$ vanishes while the other does not, then the states $|\psi'\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ belong to different SLOCC equivalent classes. Thus, each determinant divides the space of the pure states of even n qubits into two inequivalent subspaces under SLOCC. Let $c = \binom{n-1}{n/2-1}$. In total, c determinants divide the space into 2^c subspaces (or families) under SLOCC. Here each family $F_{\delta_1\cdots\delta_c}$ is defined as $F_{\delta_1\cdots\delta_c} = S_{\delta_1}^{(1)} \cap \cdots \cap S_{\delta_c}^{(c)}$, where $\delta_i = 0$ or 1, $S_0^{(i)} = \{|\psi\rangle | D_n^i = 0\}$, and $S_1^{(i)} = \{|\psi\rangle | D_n^i \neq 0\}$. Clearly, some families include infinite SLOCC classes. It is straightforward to see that if two states are SLOCC equivalent then they belong to the same family. However, the converse does not hold, i.e. two states belonging to the same family are not necessarily SLOCC equivalent.

As an application of the SLOCC determinant equations and invariants, consider, for example, the following genuine entangled state for six qubits:

$$|\chi\rangle = (1/\sqrt{8})(|0\rangle + |5\rangle + |18\rangle + |23\rangle + |40\rangle + |45\rangle + |58\rangle - |63\rangle).$$

We observe that all the non-zero coefficients of $|\chi\rangle$ lie on the diagonal of D_6^{10}. This leads to non-vanishing D_6^{10} for $|\chi\rangle$. However, D_6^{10} vanishes for the $|GHZ\rangle$, $|W\rangle$ and Dicke states. In light of Eq. (11), $|\chi\rangle$ is inequivalent to the $|GHZ\rangle$, $|W\rangle$ and Dicke states under SLOCC. For more examples, see [17].

In summary, we have constructed the set of all determinant invariants of order $2^{n/2}$ for any even n qubits and showed that the set is complete with respect to permutations of qubits. We have presented a simple formula for constructing the determinant invariants and given several examples for even n. The determinant invariants can be used for SLOCC classification of any even n qubits and the absolute values of the determinant invariants can be considered as entanglement measures. Finally, a more fundamental problem is whether the determinant invariants are independent.

The paper was supported by NSFC (Grant No.10875061) and Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology.

[1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009).

[2] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J.I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314 (2000).

[3] A. Miyake, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 012108 (2003).

[4] O. Chterental and D.Z. Djoković, Linear Algebra Research Advances (Nova Science, Hauppauge, NY) Chap. 4, p 133 (2007).

[5] Y. Cao and A. M. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. D **44**, 159 (2007).

[6] D. Li, X. Li, H. Huang and X. Li, Phys. Lett. A **359**, 428 (2006).

[7] D. Li, X. Li, H. Huang, and X. Li, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 032304 (2007).

[8] D. Li, X. Li, H. Huang, and X. Li, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 052311 (2007).

[9] D. Li, X. Li, H. Huang, and X. Li, Quantum Inf. Comput. **9**, 0778 (2009).

[10] R.V. Buniy and T.W. Kephart, arXiv:1012.2630 [quant-ph] (2010).

[11] O. Viehmann, C. Eltschka, and J. Siewert, Phys. Rev. A 83, 052330 (2011).

[12] J.-G. Luque and J.-Y. Thibon, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 042303 (2003).

[13] A. Osterloh and J. Siewert, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 012337 (2005).

[14] D. Li, X. Li, H. Huang, and X. Li, J. Math. Phys. **50**, 012104 (2009).

[15] J.-G. Luque and J.-Y. Thibon, J. Phys. A **39**, 371 (2006).

[16] D.Z. Djoković and A. Osterloh, J. Math. Phys. **50**, 033509 (2009).

[17] X. Li and D. Li, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **44**, 155304 (2011).